Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/033,920	KAYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patricia L. Hailey	1755
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Patricia L. Hailey.	(3)	
(2) <u>Vincent Shier</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: October 7, 2003.		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-22</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Datta et al. (U. S. Patent No. 4,898,845) and Weimer et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,565,820)</u> .		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The differences between the cited references and the instant claims were discussed. Upon the filing of a response to the outstanding Office Action, all pending claims will be reconsidered.</u>		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Fatricia Marley
Examiner's signature, if required